

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/801,055	03/08/2001	Masaru Ishikawa	11103-026001 / PU01-0104	4262	
7:	590 01/21/2003		•	,	
JOHN F. HAYDEN			EXAMINER		
Fish & Richard 601 Thirteenth	Street, NW		KOVALICK,	VINCENT E	
Washington, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2673		
			DATE MAILED: 01/21/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.  Office Action Summary    Commonstrate	The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	D9/801,055  Examiner  Vincent E Kovalick  From appears on the cover sheet with to the state of the cover sheet with to the state of the	ISHIKAWA, MASARU  Art Unit 2673  The correspondence address  TH(S) FROM  be timely filed  0) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.			
Examiner  Vincent E Kovalick  2673	The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	Examiner  Vincent E Kovalick  Framework of the cover sheet with the state of the cover sheet with the cover sheet with the state of the cover sheet of the cover sheet with the cover sheet with the state of the cover sheet with the cover sheet wit	Art Unit 2673  The correspondence address  TH(S) FROM  be timely filed  b) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.			
Vincent E Kovalick   2673	The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	Vincent E Kovalick  FREPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON  FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply lation.  ye, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the application to become ABAND	2673  The correspondence address  TH(S) FROM  be timely filed  b) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.			
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply sition.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the application to become ABAND	TH(S) FROM  be timely filed  o) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply is especified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply is specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply seption the mailing date of this communication to reply within the statutory period will apply and will expired the mailing date of this communication.  Paging the mailing date of this communication, and the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search paging than the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search paging than the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search paging than the mailing date of this communication.  Paging the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search paging than the mailing date of this communication.  Paging the mailing date of this communication.  Paging the mailing date of this communic	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MON FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply lation.  y, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the application to become ABAND	TH(S) FROM  be timely filed  b) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3° CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 51% (6) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the set or reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the set or reply specified above is the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 51% (6) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \$\textit{OBM March 2001}\$.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) \$\frac{1.13}{2}\$ is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) \$\frac{1.46}{1.46.7}\$ and \$10-13\$ is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) \$\frac{2.3.5.8}{3.5.8}\$ and \$\frac{9}{2}\$ is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply lation.  ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS by statute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 March 2001</u> .  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,7 and 10-13</u> is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) <u>2,3,5,8 and 9</u> is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.4.6.7 and 10-13 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 2.3.5.8 and 9 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	TIME Responsive to communication(s) filed o	on <i>08 March 2001</i> .				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1,4,6,7 and 10-13 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 2,3,5,8 and 9 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1,4,6,7 and 10-13 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 2,3,5,8 and 9 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	closed in accordance with the practice u					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) ☐ Claim(s) are subjected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	· _	lication				
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,4,6,7 and 10-13 is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) 2,3,5,8 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.	,					
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,4,6,7 and 10-13 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3,5,8 and 9 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
7) Claim(s) 2,3,5,8 and 9 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.		ed.				
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	Application Papers					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the	Examiner.			
			pproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•	the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		familian maiariku wadan 05 H C C - S 44	10(-) (-1) (5)			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		Toreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.		umanta haya baan racaiyad				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	_		ination No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	a) The translation of the foreign language	age provisional application has been	received.			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  S Patent and Trademath Office.	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	948) 5) Notice of Infor				

Art Unit: 2673

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Patent Application, Serial No. 09/801,055, with a File Date of March 8, 2001.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Method claims 12 and 13 are indicated as being dependent on apparatus claim 10, this is an obvious error, claims 12 and 13 should have been indicated as being dependent on method claim 11.

Appropriate correction is required.

# Disclosure Objected to, Minor Informality

4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

Page 8, lines 6 and 8 make reference to "stereoscopic frame 9" in Fig. 1. Fig. 1 does not show any element designated with the number "9".

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2673

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 4 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bloom et al. (USP 5,764,280) taken with Battersby (USP 6,069,650) in view of Lippert (USP 4,754,327).

Relative to claims 1 and 11, Bloom et al. **teaches** a display device including a plurality of modulatable light sources providing an array of image elements, and a scanning device for viewing the array as a two-dimensional image (col. 2, lines 18-63). Bloom et al. further **teaches** an apparatus for displaying a stereoscopic two-dimensional picture (col. 9, lines 22-34 and Fig. 6).

Bloom et al. **does not teach** an apparatus and methodology for displaying a stereoscopic twodimensional picture comprising: a display unit having a flat image display screen for displaying a two-dimensional picture containing a stereoscopic image; and an image transmitting panel placed parallel to and apart from said image display screen, the image transmitting panel having a microlens (lenticular elements) array of a plurality of lenses and an effective area larger than

Art Unit: 2673

that of the stereoscopic image contained in said two-dimensional picture, and a lens frame area surrounding a perimeter of the effective area of said microlens array, so that said image transmitting panel generates an image-formation plane for displaying a real image of said twodimensional picture in a space located on the opposite side to said display unit with respect to said microlens array; and a stereoscopic frame for defining a space for accommodating said image-formation plane.

Page 4

Battersby teaches a stereoscopic display apparatus comprising an image display device so as to enable a stereoscopic image to be perceived (col. 1, lines 65; col. 2, lines 1-67; col. 3, lines 1-18 and Figs. 1 and 2). Battersby further teaches an apparatus and methodology for displaying a stereoscopic two-dimensional picture comprising: a display unit having a flat image display screen for displaying a two-dimensional picture containing a stereoscopic image (col. 3, lines 47-61); and an image transmitting panel placed parallel to and apart from said image display screen, the image transmitting panel having a microlens (lenticular elements) array of a plurality of lenses and an effective area larger than that of the stereoscopic image contained in said twodimensional picture, and a lens frame area surrounding a perimeter of the effective area of said microlens array, so that said image transmitting panel generates an image-formation plane for displaying a real image of said two-dimensional picture in a space located on the opposite side to said display unit with respect to said microlens array (col. 3, lines 62-67 and lines 4, lines 1-8; and Figs. 1 and 2).

Art Unit: 2673

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate with the device as taught by Bloom et al. the features as taught by Battersby in order to put in place the means for a more sophisticated display/optical means arrangement in order to expand the capability of the system to be adapted to a larger number of applications

Bloom et al. taken with Battersby does not teach a stereoscopic frame for defining a space for accommodating said image-formation plane.

Lippert **teaches** a single sensor three dimensional imaging (col. 1, lines 57-68 and col. 2, lines 1-34). Lippert further **teaches** a stereoscopic frame for defining a space for accommodating said image-formation plane (col. 3, lines 55-62).

It would have bene obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate in the device as taught by Bloom et al. taken with Battersby the feature as taught by Lippert in order to establish the border which encompasses the subframe that make of the stereoscopic image.

Regarding claim 4, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the lens frame area color would have been one from a number of desired colors including a dark color area.

7. Claims 6 and 12 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bloom et al. taken with Battersby in view of Lippert as applied to claims 1 and 11 respectively in item 6 hereinabove, and further in view of Matsumura et al. (USP) 6,246,451.

Art Unit: 2673

Relative to claims 6 and 12(as best understood), Bloom taken with Battersby in view of Lippert does not teach said stereoscopic two dimensional picture comprising a picture signal supply circuit for generating a picture signal for exhibiting an image portion other than stereoscopic images which is filled with a dark color in the two-dimensional picture to be reproduced and supplying the picture signal to said display unit.

Matsumura et al. **teaches** a stereoscopic image displaying method and stereoscopic image apparatus (col. 3, lines 16-67; col. 4, lines 1-67 and col. 5, lines 1-27 and Fig. 1). Matsumura et al. further **teaches** said stereoscopic two dimensional picture comprising a picture signal supply circuit for generating a picture signal for exhibiting an image portion other than stereoscopic images which is filled with a dark color in the two-dimensional picture to be reproduced and supplying the picture signal to said display unit (col. 7, lines 24-49 and Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate with the device as taught by Bloom et al. taken with Battersby in view of Lippert the feature as taught by Matsumura et al. in that with the image processing and display control means as taught by Matsumura et al. the means would be in place to generate and supply the desired image format and color to the display unit.

8. Claims 7 and 13 (as best understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bloom et al. taken with Battersby in view of Lippert as applied to claims 1 and 11 respectively in item 6 hereinabove, and further in view of Kato et al. (USP 5,754,280).

09/801,033

Art Unit: 2673

Regarding claims 7 and 13 (as best understood), Bloom et al. taken with Battersby in view of Lippert does not teach said stereoscopic two-dimensional picture apparatus further comprising an image-formation-spot indicating a unit placed adjacent to said image formation plane in the stereoscopic frame.

Page 7

Kato et al. **teaches** a two-dimensional range finding sensor (col. 2, lines 60-67; col. 3, lines 1-67; col. 4, lines 1-67; col. 5, lines 1-12 and Fig. 3). Kato et al. further **teaches** an apparatus for displaying a stereoscopic two dimensional picture comprising an image-formation-spot (col. 6, lines 44-58) indicating a unit placed adjacent to said image-formation plane in the stereoscopic frame (col. 6, lines 58-60 and Fig. 3).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate in the device as taught by Bloom et al. taken with Battersby in view of Lippert the features as taught by Kate et al. in that both of these features are essential to the viewing of a stereoscopic two- dimensional image.

9. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bloom et al. taken with Battersby in view of Lippert as applied to claim 1 in item 6 hereinabove, and further in view of Waldern (USP 6,407,724) taken with Matsumura et al.

Regarding claim 10, Bloom et al. taken with Battersby in view of Lippert does not teach said stereoscopic two-dimensional picture comprising: a back-light illuminating unit; a color liquid crystal display panel arranged so as to completely cover a surface of the back-light illuminating

14dinoci. 05/001,05

Art Unit: 2673

unit; and picture signal supply unit supplying a picture signal including two-dimensional picture data and stereoscopic image data to the color liquid crystal display panel.

Page 8

Waldern et al. **teaches** a method and apparatus for viewing an image (col. 1, lines 48-67; col. 2, lines 1-67 and col. 3, lines 1-67). Waldern et al. further **teaches** stereoscopic two-dimensional picture comprising: a back-light illuminating unit; a color liquid crystal display panel arranged so as to completely cover a surface of the back-light illuminating unit (col. 24, lines 37-42). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate in the device as taught by Bloom et al. taken with Battersby in view of Lippert the feature as taught by Waldern et al. in order to have the means to illuminate the entire color liquid crystal display panel.

Bloom et al. taken with Battersby in view Lippert taken with Waldern et al. does not teach said stereoscopic two-dimensional picture comprising a picture signal supply unit supplying a picture signal including two-dimensional picture data and stereoscopic image data to the color liquid crystal display panel.

Matsumura et al. **teaches** said stereoscopic two-dimensional picture comprising a picture signal supply unit supplying a picture signal including two-dimensional picture data and stereoscopic image data to the color liquid crystal display panel (col. 7, lines 24-49 and Fig. 1).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate in the device as taught by Bloom et al. taken with Battersby in view of Lippert the features as taught by Waldern et al. taken with Matsumura et al. in that the features as taught by

Art Unit: 2673

Waldern et al. and Matsumura et al. are necessary to generate the two-dimensional image to be displayed.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Page 9

10. Claims 2-3, 5, and 8-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Relative to claim 2, the prior art of record **does not teach** an apparatus for displaying a stereoscopic two-dimensional picture wherein a microlens array is a micro-convex-lens board formed of a plurality of lens systems each consisting of a part of convex lenses coaxially arranged, the lens systems being arranged in the two-dimensional manner so that the optical axes of the lens systems are parallel to one another.

Regarding claim 5, the prior art of record **does not teach** an apparatus for displaying a stereoscopic two-dimensional picture comprising a supporting member supporting a lens frame area and defining a distance between the image display screen and the image transmitting panel, at least an optical path side of the supporting member being a dark color.

Relative to claim 8, the prior art of record **does not teach** an apparatus for displaying a stereoscopic two dimensional picture wherein said stereoscopic frame is a glass tank filled with water.

Regarding claim 9, the prior art of record **does not teach** an apparatus for displaying a stereoscopic two dimensional picture comprising a second display unit placed on a bottom side

Art Unit: 2673

of the glass tank with water and having a second flat image display screen for displaying a twodimensional picture containing a second stereoscopic image

# Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U. S. Patent No.	6,337,721	Hamagishi et al.
U. S. Patent No.	6,049,352	Allio
U. S. Patent No.	5,956,001	Sumida et al.
U. S. Patent No.	5,798,864	Sekiguchi
II S Patent No	5 592 215	Kuga

Art Unit: 2673

#### Responses

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Vincent E**. **Kovalick** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-3020**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Bipin Shalwala**, can be reached at (703) 305-4938.

# Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

# Inquires

13. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Vincent E. Kovalick